(b) to (d) The issue regarding some amenments in the Delhi Rent $^{m}X_{t}$ 1995 was examined by the Government in various high level meetings including the CaDinet in view of a large number of representations recevied from both the house owners I and tenants.

The Government ha$_s$ decided t$_0$ enforce the Act after effecting amendments to some of the provisions of the Act. The Amendment Bill seek to make the Act more acceptable to both the tenants and landlords and at the same time encourage investment in housing secto$_r$ particularly t$_0$ rental housing. The Delhi Rent (Amendment) Bill, 1997 has been introduced in the Rajya Sabha on 28.7.97.

लक्ष्मीबाई नगर के टाइप-II के क्वार्टर के रसोईघरों और स्नानघरों की दोषपूर्ण पाइप लाइनें

2626. **श्री राजनाथ सिंह** : क्या **शहरी कार्य और रोजगार** मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या लक्ष्मीबाई नगर के टाइप-II क्वार्टरों विशेष रूप से क्वार्टर नं० 1274 से 1236 तक की रसोई घरों और स्नान-घरों की पाइपलाइनें दोषपूर्ण एवं टूटी-फूटी अवस्था में हैं और जिसके परिणाम-स्वरूप प्रथम तल से भूमितल पर गंदा पानी टपकता रहता है और भूमितल की नालियों के बन्द होने के कारण क्वार्टरों में गंदा पानी भर जाता है; और

(ख) यदि हां, तो उपरोक्त पाइपों की मरम्मत और उन्हें बदलने के लिये क्या-क्या कदम उठाये गये हैं ?

शहरी कार्य और रोजगार मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (डा० ए० वेंकटेश्वरलु) : (क) और (ख) लक्ष्मीबाई नगर के टाइप-II क्वार्टर 40 वर्ष से भी पुराने हैं कोई विशेष शिकायत प्राप्त होने पर उन्हें तत्काल दूर किया जाता है । हाल ही में क्वार्टर नं. 1274-1276, 1281-1283, 1285-1287 की पाइपलाइनें बदली गयी हैं ।

Auction of Sites in Janakpurj

2627. SHRI RAJ NATH SINGH' Will the Minister of URBAN Affairs FAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be plea sed to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that DDA 1 has left out from auction the site for shop No. 25 in Block No. 19, CSM, Janakpuri, New Delhi, while all other sites in the said block were auctioned several years back;

(b) whether this is due to a kp»l on the part of DDA; if so, the detain I thereof;

(c) whether DDA have receive! ' any complaint in this regard; if so, the details thereof; and

{d by when the said site is likrij to be auctioned?

THE MINISTER OF STATE Of THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR-U. VENKATESWARLU); (a) ad (b) Yes, Sir. A$_s$ reported by DDA, plot No. 25 in Block No. 19, CSM, Janakpuri has not been allotted » far. Initially plot No. 25 & 26 «I Block No. 19, CSM, were earmark*! for specific disposal as a single '* taurant- But plot No. 26 was subse quently allotted to an evictee a* | therefore the matter regarding mod^{1,} fication of the lay out plan was ferred to planning department so »' lo dispose off plot No. 25 as commerce clal. In the meantime a number « representations were received from the left out evictees for allotment * the plot. Pending decision on representation* the disposal of plant was kept is abeyance

I (c) No, Sir.

I (d) The plot has now been included in the ensuing auction per gramme.

vjfficer of Plot to the Maharashtra {Government Li Lieu of Sirmur Plot

2628. SHRI MUKESH R. PATEL:
SHR1 SAN JAY NIRUPAM:

Will ihe Minister of URBAN AF-FAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleaded to state:

(a) whether the Central Govern-Imem hiive offered a plot at Shrinivas. puri in lieu of the Sirmur plot, to the Maharashtra Government and whether due to inconvenience and un-suitability, the Maharashtra Government has refused the offer^

I (b) if so, whether Government are igoing to vacate the Sirmur plot and hand ove$_r$ the sam$_e$ to the Maharashtra Government •

(c) if so, by when it would be executed; and

W) if not, the alternative steps proposed to ue iake$_n$ by Government to this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. KATESWARLU): (a) Yes, M acres land in Srinivaspuri in lies of Sirmur Plot was offc- to the Government of Maharash-Government of Maharashtra Ecepesnted that they were no in IN 1.5 acrres land in Srinivaa-

(c) No sir

(d) Does not arise in view of reply at (b) above

(e) Further stps in this regard will be taken in consulatation with the gov ement of maharshtra

Revision of Population Lin^t of towns

2629. SHRI MUKESH R. PATEL;
SHRI SANJAY NIRUPAM:

Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to refer t$_0$ answer to Starred Question 124 given i$_n$ the Rajya Sabha on the 31st July, 1997 and state;

(a) whether it i$_s$ a fact that the population limit of the towns to be considered under AUWSP has been increased from 20,000 to 1,00,000;

(b) if so, the detailed thereof; and

(c) what is Government's decision regarding Maharashtra Government's demand of increasing the quantity of water being supplied in urban areas from 70 lpcd to 100 lpcd?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Question does not arise.

(c) It has been clarified in the reply sent to the Maharashtra Government that the present norm of 70 lpcd is considered adequate for towns having population upto 1,00,000 as these towns do not generally h large industrial and institutional requirements of water.

Statue on WiUiagdon Crescent

2630. SHRI K. R. MALKANI: Will the Minister of URBAN AF FAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be plea sed to state;

(a) whether the beautiful jroup statue on Willingdon Crescent Sardar Patel Road junction in New Delhi U an approximate representation o* Dandi March;

(b) whether it is a pix of this »rt sculpture has been printed on Rs 500-not«;